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## History of random tensors

- First introduced in zero dimension: random geometry and quantum gravity [Ambjorn Durhuus Jonsson '90, Boulatov '92, Ooguri '92, ...]
- Strongly coupled QFTs and holography $(d=1)$ : SYK model without disorder [Witten, Klebanov, Tarnopolsky, ...]
- Tensor models in higher dimension: new class of conformal field theories $\rightarrow$ melonic CFTs
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- Multi matrix models with a large number of matrices [Ferrari, Schaposnik Massolo, Valette ...], different symmetry groups


## Colored $O(N)$ models

$T_{a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{r}}$ in fundamental representation of $O(N) \times O(N) \times \cdots \times O(N)$

- Propagator: $P_{a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{r}, b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{r}}=\delta_{a_{1} b_{1}} \delta_{a_{2} b_{2}} \ldots \delta_{a_{r} b_{r}}$
- Interaction: complete graph $K_{r+1}$

$K_{4}$

$K_{6}$

A melonic large $N$ limit exists for prime $r$. Ferrarri, Rivasseau, Valette '17

## Irreducible tensor models

- What about other tensor representations?
- Completely symmetric tensors: no melonic large $N$ limit
- Irreducible tensors:
- Propagator: orthogonal projector on an irreducible representation of $O(N)$
- Interaction: Complete graph invariant
- Do these models admit a large $N$ expansion?
- Is it melonic?


## Irreducible tensor models

## Conjecture:

For $r=3$, there exists a melonic large $N$ limit for $O(N)$ symmetric traceless tensors. [Klebanov, Tarnopolsky '17]

- Evidence: Explicit numerical check for all diagrams up to order $\lambda^{8}$
- Proof and generalizations:
- $O(N)$ irreducible, $r=3$ [Benedetti, Carrozza, Gurau, Kolanowski]
- $\operatorname{Sp}(N)$ irreducible, $r=3$ [Carrozza, Pozsgay]
- Generalization for $r>3$ ?
$\Rightarrow$ Here for 5 indices


## Outline

(1) The model
(2) Perturbative expansion

3 Sketch of the proof

## The model

Free energy:

$$
F_{P}(\lambda)=\frac{6}{N^{5}} \lambda \partial_{\lambda} \ln \left\{\left[e^{\frac{1}{2} \partial_{T} \boldsymbol{P} \partial_{T}} e^{\frac{\lambda}{6 N^{5}} \delta_{a b c d e f}^{h} T_{a} T_{b} T_{c} T_{d} T_{e} T_{f}}\right]_{T=0}\right\}
$$

- $\boldsymbol{P}$ one of seven orthogonal projectors on irreducible representations
- Interaction vertex

$$
T_{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4} a_{5}} T_{a_{5} b_{2} b_{3} b_{4} b_{5}} T_{b_{5} a_{4} c_{3} c_{4} c_{5}} T_{c_{5} b_{4} a_{3} d_{4} d_{5}} T_{d_{5} c_{4} b_{3} a_{2} e_{5}} T_{e_{5} d_{4} c_{3} b_{2} a_{1}}
$$



## Propagator

$\boldsymbol{P}$ : orthogonal projector on one of the irreducible tensor spaces


For traceless symmetric tensors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{b}}=\frac{1}{5!}\left[\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{5}}} \prod_{i=1}^{5} \delta_{a_{i} b_{\sigma(j)}}-\frac{2}{N+6} \sum_{\substack{\left\{i_{\mathbf{1}}, i_{\mathbf{2}}, i_{3}\right\} \cup\left\{i_{\mathbf{i}}, i_{\mathbf{5}}\right\} \\
=\llbracket 1,5 \rrbracket}} \sum_{\substack{\left\{j_{\mathbf{1}}, j_{2}, j_{3}\right\} \cup\left\{j_{\mathbf{3}}, j_{\mathbf{j}}\right\} \\
=\llbracket 1,5 \rrbracket}} \delta_{a_{i_{\mathbf{4}}} a_{i_{\mathbf{i}}}} \delta_{b_{j_{\mathbf{4}}} b_{j_{\mathbf{5}}}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{3}}} \prod_{k=1}^{3} \delta_{a_{i_{k}} b_{j(k)}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2}{(N+4)(N+6)} \sum_{\substack{\left\{i_{\mathbf{1}}\right\} \cup\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left\{i_{\mathbf{2}}, i_{3}\right\} \cup\left\{i_{\mathbf{i}}, i_{5}\right\} \\
=\llbracket 1,5 \rrbracket
\end{array}\right.}} \sum_{\substack{\left\{j_{\mathbf{1}}\right\} \cup\left\{j_{2}, j_{j}\right\} \cup\left\{j_{\mathbf{4}}, j_{5}\right\} \\
=\llbracket 1,5 \rrbracket}} \delta_{a_{i_{1}} b_{j_{1}}} \delta_{a_{i_{2}} a_{i_{3}}} \delta_{a_{i_{4}} a_{i_{5}}} \delta_{b_{j_{2}} b_{j_{3}}} \delta_{b_{j_{4}} b_{j_{5}}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Types of edges

- Unbroken: all strands traverse

- Broken: a pair of corners is connected by a strand at each end of the edge. Rescaled by $1 / \mathrm{N}$

- Doubly broken: two pairs of corners are connected by a strand at each end of the edge. Rescaled by $1 / N^{2}$



## Perturbative expansion

- $F_{P}(\lambda)$ : sum over rooted connected combinatorial maps $\mathcal{G}$

- Half-edge: represented with five strands
- 945 ways to connect two half-edges
- Projector: combination of those terms with different weights and signs
- Stranded map G: combinatorial map with a choice of one term per edge

$$
F_{P}(\lambda)=\sum_{G \text { connected, rooted }} \lambda^{V(G)} \mathcal{A}(G)
$$

## $1 / \mathrm{N}$ expansion

Amplitude of a stranded map:

$$
\mathcal{A}(G)=K(G) N^{-\omega(G)}(1+\mathcal{O}(1 / N))
$$

- $K(G)$ non-vanishing rational number independent of $N$
- One free sum $=$ One factor of $N$ per face
- Degree of a stranded map:

$$
\omega(G)=5+5 V(G)+B(G)+2 B_{2}(G)-F(G)
$$
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Goals:
$\rightarrow$ Non-negative degree
$\rightarrow$ Maps of zero degree are melonic

## Problematic cases

- Simplify the degree by considering number of faces of length $p$
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$\rightarrow$ Can be negative iff faces of length $p=1$ or $p=2$ (short-faces):

- $p=1$ : Tadpoles, double-tadpoles
- $p=2$ : Melons, dipoles
- Graphs with only long faces: positive degree


## Bad double tadpoles



Chain of $p$ double tadpoles:

- 4 faces per vertex
- Factor $N^{-5}$ per vertex
- 2 faces when we glue two double-tadpoles

$$
\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{p} N^{2 p-1}=N^{p-1}
$$

Unbounded from above
$\rightarrow$ non-trivial cancellations

## Bounds on combinatorial maps

- Stranded maps with negative degree
- Use irreducibility of the representation to bound the amplitude of the full combinatorial maps
- Double-tadpoles combinatorial maps well-behaved
- Melons: contribute to leading-order


## Bounds on combinatorial maps

- Stranded maps with negative degree
- Use irreducibility of the representation to bound the amplitude of the full combinatorial maps
- Double-tadpoles combinatorial maps well-behaved
- Melons: contribute to leading-order
- Problem: generalized double-tadpoles $\rightarrow$ arbitrarily negative degree
- Need to subtract both melons and double-tadpoles


## Main theorem

## Theorem

We have (in the sense of perturbation series):

$$
F_{\boldsymbol{P}}(\lambda)=\sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{N}} N^{-\omega} F_{\boldsymbol{P}}^{(\omega)}(\lambda)
$$

- Subtract double-tadpoles and melons
- Restrict to unbroken edges
- Induction: remaining graphs have positive degree


## Step 1: Subtraction of double-tadpoles and melons

At the combinatorial map level:


- Rewrite out theory with modified covariance and subtracted interaction
- New perturbative expansion in terms of maps $\mathcal{G}$ with no double-tadpoles or melons


## Step 2: Restriction to unbroken edges

- Cut and glue: changes the number of faces by $-1,0$ or +1
- From doubly broken to unbroken propagator:
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Remove broken edge:

- decrease the number of faces by at most one
- decrease the number of broken edges by one
- the degree can only decrease


## Step 3: Remaining graphs
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Let $G$ be a stranded graph. If $G$ has no double-tadpole and no melon, then $\omega(G) \geq 0$.
$\rightarrow$ proof by induction

- Look for a strict subgraph that can be deleted without increasing the degree and preserving the constraints
- Exhaustive graph-theoretic distinction of cases
- High number of particular two-point subgraphs to consider


## Examples of combinatorial moves
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## Boundary graphs



## Boundary graphs



- Recursive bounds on $\omega \leftrightarrow$ bounds on flip distance between boundary graphs


$$
\xrightarrow[\text { glue }]{ }
$$



## End graphs

- Ring graphs $(V=0)$
- $G$ with no short faces


## End graphs

- Ring graphs $(V=0)$
- $G$ with no short faces
- Special cases that need to be treated separately
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## Leading order

Stranded graphs with no melon can have vanishing degree
$\rightarrow$ Non-trivial cancellations

## Leading order

Stranded graphs with no melon can have vanishing degree
$\rightarrow$ Non-trivial cancellations

- Bounds on combinatorial maps through Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities

- Maps with no melons are subleading
- Conclusion: A Feynman map is leading order iff it is melonic


## Schwinger-Dyson equation

The two-point function verifies a closed SDE

$F_{\boldsymbol{P}}^{(0)}(\lambda)$ is a solution of the polynomial equation:

$$
1-X+m_{P} \lambda^{2} X^{6}=0
$$

## Conclusion and outlook
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- Recursive bounds from a detailed combinatorial analysis of the Feynman graphs.
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Thank you!

