

Superconductivity, magnetism and nematicity in thin films of Fe chalcogenides

A. Maeda, and F. Nabeshima

Department of Basic Science, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan

Fe chalcogenide is unique in the sense that it shows at least three different superconductivities (SCs) in the same material; (1) 10-40 K SC with both of hole and electron Fermi surfaces (FSs), which is observed for the broadest cases, including physically pressurized cases, (2) 40-50 K SC with electron FS alone, often realized by the electric field doping and various intercalation etc., (3) 65 K (or higher) SC in ultrathin films on some oxide substrates. In particular, as for the 1st category, Te substituted FeSe, FeSe_{1-x}Te_x, in thin film form shows a characteristic T_c behavior as a function of Te content x . Introducing Te suppresses the nematic transition temperature T_0 , which vanishes at around a critical concentration x_c , dependent on the degree of strain, and brings a succeeding sudden increase of T_c (for instance 13 K just below x_c to 23 K just above x_c), followed by a gradual decrease of T_c for further increase of x [1]. This T_c behavior is in contrast to that of 2nd category[2], where T_c of Te substituted samples monotonously decreases, suggesting the presence of novel important physics. Since the strain dependences of T_c and T_0 [3] are completely reproduced in bulk crystals[4,5], data in film samples should be understood on the same ground in a continuous manner with those of bulk crystals. On the other hand, we recently found that the nematic transition is purely electronic without any lattice distortion in film samples[6]. This means that FeSe_{1-x}Te_x films provide a unique valuable playground to observe the pure responses of electrons unaffected by lattice. We have studied FeSe_{1-x}Te_x (and also FeSe_{1-y}S_y) PLD grown films by dc transport[7], ARPES[8], optical properties[9], magnetic properties by μ -SR[10] and DFT calculation[11] above T_c , and superfluid density and quasi-particle dynamics below T_c [11]. Based on all of these studies, and keeping the pure electronic aspect of the responses in these epitaxial film samples in mind, we find that (1) the sudden increase of T_c just after the disappearance of the nematic transition at x_c is caused by the change of the carrier density/density of state of Fermi level at x_c , (2) succeeding gradual decrease of T_c with further increasing x is due to the increase of electron correlation, (3) the so-called Uemura relation between T_c and the superfluid density is valid irrespective with the presence/absence of the nematic transition, suggesting that the superconducting mechanism is common in a broad sense, (4) superconducting gap structure is different between SC below x_c and above x_c , which might be related to the orbital switch at the Fermi level caused by the upward shift of d_{xy} orbital with increasing x . These suggest that the characteristic T_c behavior as a function of x is not in line with a scenario which assumes a quantum critical point at some x value, and rather consistent with a more conventional scenario.

We also studied SCs in categories 2 and 3, and realized the highest zero resistivity temperature[2] and the interface superconductivity as the first successful case among PLD grown films[12].

We will introduce detailed data on these issues in the talk.

- [1] Y. Imai *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **112**, 1937 (2015), *ibid*, Sci. Rep. **7**, 46653 (2017) .
- [2] N. Shikama *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **B 104**, 094512 (2021).
- [3] F. Nabeshima *et al.*, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **57**, 120314 (2018).
- [4] M. Ghini *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **B 103**, 205139 (2021).
- [5] M. Nakajima *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Mat. **5**, 044801 (2021).
- [6] Y. Kubota *et al.*, *unpublished*.
- [7] F. Nabeshima *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **B 101**, 184517 (2020).
- [8] K. Nakayama *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Res. **3**, L012007 (2021).

- [9] M. Nakajima *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **B 104**, 024512 (2021).
- [10] F. Nabeshima *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **B 103**, 184504 (2021).
- [11] H. Kurokawa *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **B 104**, 014505 (2021).
- [12] T. Kobayashi *et al.*, SUST, *in press* (arXiv. 2203.04534.)