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Within quantum field theory, we would like to write and solve:

$$
Z=\int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{D} g_{\mu \nu} \mathcal{D} \Phi \exp \left(-S\left[g_{\mu \nu}, \Phi\right]\right)
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$g_{\mu \nu}$ : metric structure on $\mathcal{M}$; $\Phi$ : matter content.
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What are $\mathcal{D}, S[\cdot], Z$ ?

## One approach: Holography
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## Quantum Gravity ( $D+1$ dimensions)

$=$ Quantum Theory ( $D$ dimensions)

Hints for the emergence of gravity:


- Black hole entropy [Bekenstein 1972]:

$$
S_{B H}=\frac{c^{3}}{4 G \hbar} A_{\text {horizon }}
$$

- Laws of black hole thermodynamics [Bardeen, Bekenstein, Carter, Hawking 1973]
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Boundary: Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model ( $D=1$ )

$$
H=\sum_{1 \leq i<j<k<1 \leq N} J_{i j k l} \psi_{i} \psi_{j} \psi_{k} \psi_{l}, \quad\left\langle J_{i j k l}^{2}\right\rangle \propto \frac{J^{2}}{N^{3}} .
$$

## A simple model of holography

Same effective action on the boundary (review [Mertens 2022]):

$$
\frac{\phi_{b}}{8 \pi G_{N}} \oint_{S^{1}} \mathrm{~d} u S c h[t, u]
$$

with $t: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}, t^{\prime}(u)>0$ (reparametrization) and

$$
S c h[t, u]=\left(\frac{t^{\prime \prime}(u)}{t^{\prime}(u)}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t^{\prime \prime}(u)}{t^{\prime}(u)}\right)^{2}
$$
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## A simple model of holography

Same effective action on the boundary (review [Mertens 2022]):

$$
\frac{\phi_{b}}{8 \pi G_{N}} \oint_{S^{1}} \mathrm{~d} u S_{c h}[t, u],
$$

with $t: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}, t^{\prime}(u)>0$ (reparametrization) and

$$
\operatorname{Sch}[t, u]=\left(\frac{t^{\prime \prime}(u)}{t^{\prime}(u)}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t^{\prime \prime}(u)}{t^{\prime}(u)}\right)^{2} .
$$

This theory is integrable! (coadjoint orbit of the Virasoro group)
But no discrete energy spectrum...
(1) Motivations
(2) Immersions of the disk
(3) Conclusions and Perspectives

## Immersions of the disk

We are interested in metrics on the disk.

Conformal gauge:

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=e^{2 \Sigma}|\mathrm{~d} z|^{2}, \quad z=x+i y
$$

Metrics of constant curvature:

$$
4 \partial_{z} \partial_{\bar{z}} \Sigma=-\kappa e^{2 \Sigma}, \quad \kappa= \pm 1,0 .
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Theorem
a) Let $\Sigma_{b}: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function defined on the boundary of the disk. Then there exists a unique solution $\Sigma \in C^{\infty}(D)$ of the Liouville equation such that $\Sigma=\Sigma_{b}$ on the boundary.
b) Assuming $F$ holomorphic, the most generic solution (up to disk automorphisms, $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ ) takes the form:

$$
e^{\Sigma(z)}=\frac{2\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+\kappa|F(z)|^{2}}
$$

## Immersions of the disk

We parametrize metrics on the disk $\mathcal{D}$ :
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Reparametrization embedding - General embedding (self-avoiding) - Immersion

## Questions

- How does considering immersions change the previous results?
- What are the properties of those immersions?

Number of self-overlaps, fractals, ...?

- Of their boundaries?

Do they characterise the whole immersion?
Minimal combinatorial properties that lead to an immersion?
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## Such curves can bound multiple non-homeomorphic disks!

Shor \& Van Wyk [1992] gave an $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ algorithm to determine and count inequivalent extensions (constrained Delaunay triangulations).

Graver \& Cargo [2011] solved the problem with graph theory (covering graph).

## Self-overlapping curves: Numbers

- Turning number (index)

$$
\operatorname{turn}(\gamma)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \oint_{\gamma} k \mathrm{~d} s=1, \quad k=\frac{x^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-y^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime}}{\left(x^{\prime 2}+y^{\prime 2}\right)^{3 / 2}}
$$

- Winding number (number of overlaps)

$$
\operatorname{wind}_{\gamma}\left(z_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{\gamma^{\prime}(t)}{\gamma(t)-z_{0}} \mathrm{~d} t \geq 0
$$



Self-overlapping curves: Cuts

Curves that can be decomposed into simple curves through well-chosen cuts.


## Self-overlapping curves: Maximally Planar Matchings

[Bonsma, Breuer 2012] Mapping the curve, together with good Blank cuts*, to a chordal graph, the problem of counting inequivalent disks corresponds to counting Maximum Independent Sets in the circle graph (for $n$ vertices of the circle graph, $\left.\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)\right)$.

*such that the cut with the slices of the curve form a simple curve
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NB: They can also be glued together.
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Figure: Minimal number of cuts and the associated "good" pairings.
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## Monte Carlo: 2D quantum "flat" gravity

Random samples of immersed disks in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ (i.e. random flat metrics on the disk)

1) Generate random Gaussian field $\Sigma_{D}(\theta): 2$ parameters: $\{N, \sigma\}$ ( $2 \pi$-uniform: $\mathrm{d} \theta=\frac{2 \pi}{N}$ )
2) $\ell=\int \mathrm{d} \theta e^{\Sigma_{D}(\theta)}$
(arclength-uniform: $\mathrm{d} \vartheta=\frac{2 \pi}{\ell} e^{\Sigma_{D}(\theta)} \mathrm{d} \theta$ )
3) Redefine $\Sigma(\vartheta)=-\Sigma_{D}(\theta(\vartheta))+2 \log (\ell / 2 \pi)$
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3) Redefine $\Sigma(\vartheta)=-\Sigma_{D}(\theta(\vartheta))+2 \log (\ell / 2 \pi)$

$\Sigma$ has an action invariant under $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

## Monte Carlo: 2D quantum "flat" gravity

Random samples of immersed disks in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ (i.e. random flat metrics on the disk)
4) Analytic continuation:

$$
\left.H(z)\right|_{z=e^{i \vartheta}}=\Sigma(\vartheta)+i \Gamma(\vartheta), \quad \Gamma(\vartheta)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \mathrm{P} \int \mathrm{~d} \vartheta^{\prime} \frac{\Sigma\left(\vartheta^{\prime}\right)}{\tan \frac{\vartheta^{\prime}-\vartheta}{2}},
$$

5) Integrate the exponential of its analytic continuation:

$$
\left.F(z)\right|_{z=e^{i \vartheta}}=i \int_{0}^{\vartheta} \mathrm{d} \vartheta^{\prime} e^{i \vartheta \vartheta^{\prime}} \exp \left[H\left(e^{i \vartheta^{\prime}}\right)\right], \quad(\text { gauge: } F(1)=0) .
$$
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$$
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(a) $\sigma=0.5$

(e) $\sigma=7$

(c) $\sigma=3$

(d) $\sigma=4$
(f) $\sigma=8$

## Monte Carlo: Lengths

$$
\begin{gathered}
\ell=\int \mathrm{d} \theta e^{\Sigma(\theta)}, \quad\langle\ell\rangle=2 \pi \\
\left\langle\ell^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{\sigma} \exp \left(-\frac{\pi \sigma^{2}}{12}\right) \operatorname{Erfi}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi} \sigma}{2}\right) \\
\Delta \ell=\sqrt{\left\langle\ell^{2}\right\rangle-\langle\ell\rangle^{2}} \\
\operatorname{Erfi}(z)=\frac{-2 i}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{z} \mathrm{~d} t e^{t^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$



## Monte Carlo: Areas, self-intersections and overlaps (100 samples)



## (1) Motivations

(2) Immersions of the disk

3 Conclusions and Perspectives

## Conclusions and Perspectives

Random metrics (with fixed curvature) on the disk correspond to self-overlapping curves.

## Conclusions and Perspectives

Random metrics (with fixed curvature) on the disk correspond to self-overlapping curves.

- What is this kind of new random object? (fixed length, extrinsic curvature, order parameter...)
- Implement counting and identification of distinct immersions. (faster algorithms using minimal number of cuts?)
- Partition function.
- Hyperbolic case, other topologies...


## Conclusions and Perspectives

> Random metrics (with fixed curvature) on the disk correspond to self-overlapping curves.

- What is this kind of new random object? (fixed length, extrinsic curvature, order parameter...)
- Implement counting and identification of distinct immersions. (faster algorithms using minimal number of cuts?)
- Partition function.
- Hyperbolic case, other topologies...

Thank you!

## Self-overlapping curves: technical results

Theorem (Graver, Cargo 2011)
An oriented normal curve $\gamma$, with $0 \leq \operatorname{wind}_{\gamma}(f) \leq 2$, admits a unique extension if:
(i) the number of faces with wind $\gamma_{\gamma}(f)=2$ equals the number of faces with $\operatorname{wind}_{\gamma}(f)=0$,
(ii) all faces with wind $_{\gamma}(f)=2$ have boundaries of even length.
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Theorem (Shor, Van Wyk 1992)
The number of incompatible decompositions is equal to the number of combinatorially inequivalent constrained Delaunay triangulations.

